समक्ष माननीय राज्य प्रशासनिक अधिकरण रायपुर (छ. ग.)

ओ.ए. क्र. / 2001

1. आनकारी रविन्द्र कुमार आत्मज नारायण
   उम्र 35 वर्ष, सहायक शिक्षक
2. शिवचरण कुम्भकार आत्मज जंगली राम
   उम्र 36 वर्ष, सहायक शिक्षक
3. कुंवर सिंह कोमरे आत्मज रंजन सिंह
   उम्र 36 वर्ष, सहायक शिक्षक
4. चैत राम यादव आत्मज बिशनाथ
   उम्र 38 वर्ष, सहायक शिक्षक
   सभी सहायक शिक्षक, आदिमजाति कल्याण विभाग
   विकासखंड भोपालपटनम, जिला– दंतेबाड़ा (छत्तीसगढ़) – आवेदकगण

विरुद्ध

1. छत्तीसगढ़ शासन,
   द्वारा सचिव, आदिमजाति कल्याण विभाग मंत्रालय
   डी.के. एस. भवन, रायपुर (छत्तीसगढ़)
2. म.प्र. शासन,
   द्वारा सचिव, आदिमजाति कल्याण विभाग मंत्रालय,
   वल्लभ भवन, भोपाल (म.प्र.)
3. आयुक्त
   आदिवासी विकास (आदिमजाति कल्याण विभाग)
   छत्तीसगढ़ शासन, रायपुर (छत्तीसगढ़)
4. सहायक आयुक्त/सहायक परियोजना प्रशासक
   आदिवासी विकास विभाग, दंतेवाड़ा
   जिला –दंतेवाड़ा (छत्तीसगढ़) – अनावेदकगण

आवेदन पत्र अन्तर्गत धारा 19 प्रशासनिक अधिकरण अधिनियम 1985

(36)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 3593 of 2005

Petitioners : Yalam Shankar and others

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P. (S) No. 4537 of 2005

Petitioners : Aankari Ravindra Kumar and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Mr. Sanjay Patel, Advocate on behalf of Mr. S.S. Pandya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 2nd day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Penal lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case is similar to that of in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006 (Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7th November, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7th November, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu